

Appln No. 10/052,099
Amdt Dated May 26, 2006
Reply to Office Action of February 17, 2006

APP 1385

Remarks

Claim 17 remains in the application.

Claim 17 is amended to claim that "the refresh polling interval being calculated based on at least one of the following pieces of data for the client: processing power of the client, the connection speed of the clients, and the class of service of the client." Support for this amendment is found in the specification at page 6, lines 20 to 23.

It is respectfully submitted that Chu (U.S. Patent No. 6,263,367) fails to anticipate, teach or even suggest calculating the refresh polling interval based on the processing power of the client, the connection speed of the clients and/or the class of service of the client. The system may vary the refresh interval based on the processing power of the clients or the connection speed of the clients. (page 9, lines 19-21). With regard to calculating the interval based on the class of service, for instance, in an alarm application, administrator class clients may have a shorter refresh interval calculated than non-administrator clients because the administrator clients may have less tolerance for delays. (page 9, lines 16-19).

The Office Action cites col.12 lines 55-60 of Chu for disclosing that the refresh polling interval is calculated based at least in part upon the processing power of the client. The cited paragraph fails to teach or even suggest calculating the refresh polling interval based on at least one of the processing power of the clients, the class of service of the client or the connection speed of the clients as claimed in Claim 17. Therefore, it is respectfully suggested that Claim 17 should be deemed allowable over the art of record.

Reconsideration, reexamination and allowance of Claim 17 as amended are respectfully requested.

Appln No. 10/052,099
Amdt Dated May 26, 2006
Reply to Office Action of February 17, 2006

APP 1385

The Patent and Trademark Office is hereby authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 02-1822 the fee due under 37 CFR 1.17(a) of \$120.00 for a one month extension of the time to reply to the Office Action.

Respectfully submitted,



Philip J. Feig

Reg. No. 27,328

Tel. No. 732-699-7997